

R&D 7493-EN-01

DTIC

BRIEF REVIEW OF REMOTE SENSING LITERATURE PERTAINING TO
CLASSIFICATION AND GEOSTATISTICS

First Interim Report (RSSUSA - 1)

by

Dr Margaret A. Oliver and Professor Richard Webster

(October 1994 - January 1995)

United States Army

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH OFFICE OF THE U.S. ARMY

London, England

CONTRACT NUMBER - N68171 - 95 - C - 9007

Contractor - Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

19950207 027

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 19.01.95	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Interim - Oct 1994-Jan 1995		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Brief review of remote sensing literature pertaining to classification and geostatistics		5. FUNDING NUMBERS PR-N68171 95-C-9007		
6. AUTHOR(S) Dr M A Oliver, Professor R Webster				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 2AH, UK		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER RSS USA-1		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) USARDSG-UK Environmental Sciences Branch Edison House, 233 Old Marylebone Road, London, NW1 5TH, UK		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This is a report prepared in advance of receiving remote imaging data.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT No limitations on distribution/availability		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This first report summarizes the most relevant information for the project from among the wide range of literature on remote sensing. The facilities offered by IMAGINE have been examined. IMAGINE is a digital image processing package that can store, manipulate and analyse georeferenced data. It is the most widely used software for remote sensing applications. The other literature cited relates specifically to the aim of the project, i.e. to optimize field sampling from remote images using geostatistics. It includes applications of kriging and spatial filtering to classify remotely sensed data, and to enhance the image, the neural net approach, fuzzy classification, sampling images effectively and economically, the use of training data, confidence levels for classification, and the redundancy of information.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Remote sensing, supervised classification, unsupervised classification, geostatistics.		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 7		
		16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18
298-102

ABSTRACT

This first report summarizes the literature on aspects of remote sensing that are of direct concern to the project, i.e. that deal with supervised and unsupervised classification, or with the application of geostatistics to particular problems, or both. The papers and books were selected from a broad range, as was the handbook chosen. The report also considers the functions available in IMAGINE, a package of software for image processing.

INTRODUCTION

This report is preliminary to our obtaining the SPOT image from Dr Slocum of TEC, Alexandria, USA. In preparation we (Dr Margaret Oliver and Professor Richard Webster) have been compiling information and reading relevant papers and books (see list of references), so that we shall have the necessary background when we receive the data and shall be able to proceed with analysis immediately. We have bought the book by Richards (1993) on remote sensing as a handbook. It covers all the essential topics and is up to date. We are now fully cognisant with the jargon of remote sensing, and in this report we summarize the aspects of remote sensing on which we have focused and list the major references. In addition I have familiarized myself with the facilities offered by IMAGINE – the software for image processing available at the University of Reading.

IMAGINE

IMAGINE is a digital image package that can store, manipulate and analyse georeferenced data. It is based on an X-windows interface and the UNIX operating system. It is the most widely used software in the world for remote sensing applications. An image is automatically contrast-stretched according to the statistical distribution of the data, which are stored with the image. The data at any visible position can be investigated using the cursor enquiry mode. The zooming and panning options allow parts of the image to be magnified, which will be valuable when deciding how to stratify images.

It is also possible to combine values from several layers to obtain a single output value for each location. This will provide us with a partial multivariate view of the image. It is possible to filter the image if necessary using a kernel, the size of which can be varied and which examines the data field exhaustively. High pass filtering enhances the edges within the image, and this should aid the initial classification of the image before an optimal sampling scheme is designed.

The package has many other features, such as a texture filter, image transforms, principal components analysis, and error checking. For image resampling some kind of interpolation is needed to relate the image values to the georeferenced grid. At present this is done by nearest-neighbour analysis, bilinear interpolation and cubic convolution.

For classification we might have to revert to ERDAS Imagine version 7.5 if it is still not supported by IMAGINE. Supervised and unsupervised classifications are possible: these will be the responsibility of TEC. However, we understand the procedures that TEC will use to achieve them. Training areas will also have been selected by TEC, and these will be used to control and to test the classifications. For supervised classification

the analyst defines information classes by identifying examples. The classification procedure then assigns each pixel in the image to the most similar class. The spectral range for each class is estimated from the training pixels. A set of rules is then given in ERDAS to classify the pixels. The program compares the spectral response in various bands with those of the training data (Van Der Meer, 1993). In unsupervised classification spectral clusters are sought statistically. The ultimate aim is to match the spectral classes to the natural information that the end user wants.

GENERAL LITERATURE

Van Der Meer (1993) used geostatistics, in particular indicator kriging, to classify spectral information directly without any prior knowledge from the training data. He showed that it performed better than conventional classification methods. This is important in terms of how the sampling should be optimized.

Caillol *et al.* (1993) show that fuzzy classification is better than hard classification in the sense that its rate of correct assignment was greater. Bonifazi *et al.* (1993) used a neural net approach to discriminate between different urban areas on an image. Goossens *et al.* (1993) applied spatial filtering techniques in classifying remotely sensed data. They also discussed the problem of loss of information with classification, and how the reliability of classification depended on the relations between pixels in a given neighbourhood, i.e. the degree and extent of spatial dependence. The latter is also crucial in deciding how to sample.

Vairinho *et al.* (CODATA, 1993) used kriging in combination with image processing methods and found that this enhanced specific features of the image to obtain the best classification.

Atkinson *et al.* (1990) showed how economy can be achieved by sampling remotely sensed data. Spatial dependence and the many correlated wavebands mean that the data contain much redundant information, and so it is important to improve efficiency. The sampling scheme chosen for the purpose will depend on what is known already of the spatial variation, and its results will also help future understanding and further improvements in sampling. Atkinson *et al.* (1990) tested optimal sampling of images and reconstruction based on kriging using data from an airborne scanner at 600 m. They have since shown how to plan the combination of ground sampling and radiometric data in different wavebands to optimize the use of resources (Atkinson *et al.*, 1992, 1994).

The geostatistical approach to sampling has been explored to some extent to identify objects in imagery (Woodcock *et al.*, 1988 a and b).

A SPOT image has a resolution of 10 to 20 m. The covariance structure within the image is influenced by averaging within the field of view. This effect is known as regularization in geostatistics, and it can be taken into account.

According to Foody *et al.* (1988) the training stage of supervised classification has had relatively little attention. This project will enable us to determine how geostatistics can assist in choosing the training data and in the subsequent evaluation. It is necessary to ensure that the training data are a representative sample and that they are selected objectively. The basis of geostatistics is autocorrelation, and this underpins the structure present in most remotely sensed.

Confidence levels for classification can be determined whatever the procedure used to group the pixels. Determining these requires ground data (Thomas *et al.*, 1987).

M A Oliver

Reading January 1995

REFERENCES

- Atkinson, P. Curran, P. J. & Webster, R. (1990) Sampling remotely sensed imagery for storage, retrieval and reconstruction. *Professional Geographer*, **42**, 345-353.
- Atkinson, P., Webster, R. & Curran, P. J. (1992). Cokriging with ground-based radiometry. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **41**, 45-60.
- Atkinson, P., Webster, R. & Curran, P. J. (1994). Cokriging with MSS imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, in press.
- Besag, J. (1986). On the statistical analysis of dirty pictures. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, **48**, 559-502.
- Bonifazi, G., Burrascano, P. & Volpi, F. (1993). A neural net based classification approach to discriminate between different urban areas. In: *Preprints of 3rd CODATA Conference, Enschede*.
- Caillol, H., Hillion, A. L. & Pieczynski, W. (1993) Fuzzy random fields and unsupervised image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, **31**, 801-810.
- Curran, P. J. *Principles of Remote Sensing*, Longmans, London.
- Curran, P. J. The semi-variogram in remote sensing: An introduction. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **28**, 493-507.
- Goossens, M. A., Fabbri, A. G. & Gorte, B. G. H. (1993). Spatial filtering techniques in the classification of remotely sensed information. In: *Preprints of 3rd CODATA Conference, Enschede*.
- Jupp, D. L. B., Strahler, A. H. & Woodcock, C. E. (1988). Autocorrelation and regularization in digital images. I. Basic theory. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, **26**, 563-473.
- Langford, M. (1993) *ERDAS Imagine Workbook*, Advisory Group on Computer Graphics.
- Remote Sensing Society (1984). *Satellite Remote Sensing*. Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference, Reading.
- Richards, J. A. (1993). *Remote Sensing Digital Image Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Thomas, I. L., Benning, V. M. & Ching, N. P. (1987) *Classification of Remotely Sensed Images*. Adam Hilger, Bristol.
- Van Der Meer, F. (1993). Classification of high spectral resolution imagery using an indicator kriging based technique. In: A. Soares (editor), *Geostatistics Tróia '92, Volume 2*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 829-840.
- Vairinho, M. Pina, P. Sousa, A. J., Muge, F. & Oliveira, V. (1992) Geostatistics and image analysis in the interpretation of geochemical data. *CODATA Conference Preprints, Enschede, 1992*.

- Webster, R., Curran, P. J. & Munden, J. W. (1989). Spatial correlation in reflected radiation from the ground and its implications for sampling and mapping by ground-based radiometry. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **29**, 67-78.
- Woodcock, C. E., Strahler, A. H. & Jupp, D. L. B. (1988 a). The use of variograms in remote sensing. I. Scene models and simulated images. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **25**, 323-348.
- Woodcock, C. E., Strahler, A. H. & Jupp, D. L. B. (1988 b). The use of variograms in remote sensing. II. Real digital images *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **25**, 349-379.